## Index

Accreditation Board for Engineering and Technology (ABET), 58 Activity diagram, 74 Adaptive expertise, 4 Advanced Writing, 135 American Library Association (ALA), 119 Analysis of variance (ANOVA), 39 Analytical conditional knowledge, 119, 150 Anxiety, 26 Apprentice, 2 Assessment, 7, 17, 105 Assignments, 17, 64, 79, 85, 152 Association of College and Research Libraries (ACRL), 119 Bloom's Revised Taxonomy, 23

Bomb calorimeter, 34 Book of Mormon (BOM), 111 Brave place for learning, 95 Brigham Young University's Center for Teaching and Learning (BYU CTL), 94, 96 Brigham Young University's library (BYU library), 124

Canvas, 26 Center for teaching and learning (CTL), 154 Chemistry, 32–33 Classification tree, 58 Coaching, 8, 29 COAST framework, 32 Composition branch, 52 Comprehension, 32 Concept maps, 12, 23 Conceptual knowledge, 2–3, 5, 48–49, 150 Conditional knowledge, 2–5, 17, 33, 48, 94, 121, 149-150 into courses, 40-41 within IL domain, 134-135 impacts novice to expert knowledge of discipline, 33 of scriptural text, 103-105 Conditional schema, 4-7 Confidence interval estimation, 13 Confirmatory factor analysis (CFA), 83 Coulomb's law, 49–50 Course design, 114 course purpose and culminating assessment activity, 57-58 creating EDM, 58-60 for DBL, 57 DBL problem creation, 61-63 learning modules, 60-61 Course EDM. 137–139 Critical thinking, 74 Data-driven decision-making (DDDM), 94, 96 Decision model, 58-59 Decision path, 17 Decision point, 13 Decision-based learning (DBL), 1-2, 12–17, 23, 32, 46, 68, 93, 105–106, 118, 121–122,

148 assessment after implementing DBL software, 113–114 course design for, 57–63 creating problems in DBL software tool, 73–77

creating problems to use in DBL software tool, 36-37, 139-141 as design method, 5-7 developmental progression of expertise, 2-5 in engineering context, 56–57 experience in engineering course, 65 frequent, interleaved assessment, 7 high scaffolding, problem-based interaction, 8 in IL instruction, 135-136 implementation of DBL IL session, 143-144 implementing DBL into course, 37, 40-41, 63-65, 107-109 pedagogy, 56-57 self-directed, variable-scaffolding practice, 7-8 software, 109-113 as teaching method, 7-8 Developmental progression of expertise, 2-5 Diagraming, 69

Educational Inquiry, Measurement & Evaluation (EIME), 25, 28 Engineering course design for DBL, 57–63 DBL experience in engineering course, 65 decision-based learning in engineering context, 56-57 implementing DBL into course, 63 - 65problems, 60 theory, 56-57 Enthalpy, 36 Evaluate, 5 Evaluative conditional knowledge, 119, 150 Execute, 23–24

Expert, 2–3 expert-blind spot, 3-5, 35, 149 thinking, 160-162 Expert decision model (EDM), 5-6, 12, 15, 34–36, 46–47, 57, 81, 94, 107–108, 137, 148 building, 50-51 changes, 51-53 composition branch, 52 creating, 58-60 creating and implementing, 122 - 127details of, 70-73 determining, 69-70 developing, 150-155 framing initial DBL question, 47 - 50implementing, 156–159 multiple regression, 83-84 physical properties, 52-53 SEM, 84 in statistics course, 25

Fake news, 117 Feedback, 14, 24 First-year college chemistry, 46 First-year Writing (FYW), 118 Flipped classroom, 135–136 Formative learning using DBL, 88 Functional expertise, 4

General chemistry decision model, initial decision point in, 49–50 Generative expertise, 4 Grade Point Average (GPA), 39 Growth mindset, 95 Guidance, 29 Guidelines for Assessment and Instruction in Statistics Education (GAISE), 81

Heat, 36 Hess's law, 36–37 Heuristics, 32–33 Historical context, 105–106 Homework, 26–27, 29 Hypothesis test, 13

Implement, 23–24 Inductive learning, 104 InfoGraphics, 155 Information literacy (IL), 119, 133 conditional knowledge within IL domain, 134-135 decision-based learning in IL instruction, 135–136 evaluating student learning, 145 implementation of DBL IL session, 143-144 method of IL instruction, 135 redesigning IL session, 136-142 Information seeking, 139 Information systems creating problems in DBL software tool, 73–77 details of expert decision model, 70-73 determining expert decision model, 69 - 70redesigning course purpose, 68-69 and Systems Analysis and Design course. 67-68 Informed creativity, 14 Instructor impacts on, 144 implementation experience for, 63-64 Introductory religion course conditional knowledge of scriptural text, 103-105 redesigning course, 105-114 research and possibilities, 114 - 115Intuition. 20

Journeyman, 2 Just-enough, 6, 13, 155 Just-in-time instruction, 6, 13, 155 Just-in-time teaching (JiTT), 81 Knowledge, 2–3 (see also Learning) base and value system, 127 dimension. 23 domains, 47 levels of expertise, 4 relationship of levels to, 3 Learning (see also Decision-based learning (DBL)) model, 39 modules, 60-61, 141-142 outcome. 5 statistics, 80 Learning management system (LMS), 110 Library days, 124 Library instruction sessions, 120 - 121Machine Design, 57 Master. 2 Mechanical engineering, 153 Mini-models, 34-35, 76, 151, 154-155 Model development process, 34-36 Modeling decisions, 134 Modified Mohr failure theory, 63 Mplus, 83–84 Multiple regression, 81 challenges for instructors, 86 challenges for students, 86-87 comparison of learning to students in past, 89–90 comparison of learning to students not in DBL sections, 91 creating problems to use with decision-based learning tool, 84-85

EDMs, 83-84

formative learning using DBL, 88 redesigning courses, 82

summative learning using DBL, 89

Narrative research, 148 Non-conservative failure theory, 63 Novice teachers, 20 thinking, 160–162

Objectives, 20, 57 One-sample t-test for means, 13 One-shot library instruction, 120–121 One-shot methods, 120 One-way analysis of variance, 39

Pedagogy, 5, 12, 150 Pilot testing, 143 Problem bank, 7, 57, 61, 139–140 Problem solving, 32–33 Procedural expertise, 4 Procedural knowledge, 2–3 Process knowledge, 48 Process skills, 48 Project, 69 Project Information Literacy (PIL), 119

Qualitative research, 94 DBL, 96 implementation of EDM, 97–99 results, 100–101 shift to teaching qualitative methods, 96–97 Quasi-experimental design, 124

Rapid mental processing, 20, 160 Religious education, 103–104 Repetition, 21, 23 Research evaluation, 94

Safe space, 95 Scaffold, 7–8, 53 Scenario building, 143 Schema building, 111 Schemized knowledge, 94 Science, technology, engineering, and mathematics (STEM), 32 Scriptural text, 103-105 Scripture, 104 Semesters, 143-144 Source evaluation, 118 behaviors, 128-130 creating and implementing expert decision model, 122-127 current modules, 130-131 literature review, 120–122 results, 128-130 Statistical Package for the Social Sciences (SPSS), 26, 82 statistics, 80 anxiety, 22-23 education, 80 Stats 2, 82 Structural equation modeling (SEM), 81 challenges for instructors, 86 challenges for students, 87-88 comparison of learning to students in past, 89-90 comparison of learning to students not in DBL sections, 91 creating problems to use with decision-based learning tool, 85-86 EDMs, 84 formative learning using DBL, 88-89 redesigning courses, 82-83 summative learning using DBL, 89 Student learning, 94, 161–162 challenges, 37-38 conditional knowledge, 33, 40 - 41conditional knowledge impacts novice to expert knowledge of discipline, 33 decision model for acid-base chemistry, 42 evaluating, 145 evaluating, 39-40

perceptions/challenges for students, 38–39 problem solving and heuristics, 32–33 redesigning course, 34–37 statistics, 80 Students comments, 162–164 engagement, 145 impacts on, 144 implementation experience for, 64 knowledge, 136 Summative learning using DBL, 89 Systems Analysis and Design course, 67–69 Systems analyst, 69 Teaching assistant (TA), 75 Teaching statistics, 21–22 Time frame, 143 Tukey post-hoc analysis, 39

Understanding, 3, 165

Web-based software, 64 Well-populated, conditionally organized problem or scenario bank, 6–7 Writing Program Administrators (WPA), 118–119

YSearch, 124-125