

INDEX

- Academia, 100
Academic writing, 102–103
Action research, 16
Adaptability, 167
Analytical generalisation, 142
Approach, strengths and
 limitations of, 153–155
Arête (excellence, virtue), 65
Authentic case study of online
 research, 78
 background context, 78–79
 ethical considerations, 79–84
- Belmont Report, 70, 73
Beneficence, 64, 71, 73
Blind watchmaker, 125
British Educational Research
 Association (BERA),
 73
Burnell, Iona, 1, 4, 193
- Causal layered analysis (CLA),
 113, 126
 litany, 120
 metaphor and myth, 124–129
 systemic causes, 120–122
 worldview, 122–123
Citizens, 75
Clarity, 165–166
Clients, responsibilities to, 76
Cognitive career, 241
Cognitive mindset, 45–47
Cohort-based approaches, 11, 18
Community career, 241
Community of educational
 researchers,
 responsibilities to, 76
Community of practice (CoP), 80
- Computer-assisted qualitative data
 analysis (CAQDA),
 170, 173–174
Conceptual threshold crossings, 106
Confirmability, 180
Consequentialism, 62–63
Contradictions, 48
Core transferable skill, 92
Covert non-participant
 observation, 151
Crawley, Jim, 4, 165
Creton, Jane, 2, 5, 7, 244–247
Credibility, 180
Critical writing, 203–205
Criticality, 101–104
Czerniawski, Gerry, 4, 193,
 243–244
- Data analysis and interpretation,
 163–164, 168–170,
 176–178
CAQDA, 173–174s
case example of doctoral
 student, 180–185
changing world, 167–168
evaluating quality of, 178–180
flexibility, adaptability
 and fitness for
 purpose, 167
grounded theory, 172–173
key discussions and debates,
 165
mixed-methods analysis,
 175–176
practicality, clarity and validity,
 165–166
thematic analysis, 170–171
threats to, 169

- Decision chains, 129–131
- Deontology, 63–65
- Dependability, 180s
- Dialectic, 153
- Dissemination, responsibilities for, 76–77
- DNA, 114, 125
- Doctor of Education (EdD), 1–2, 9, 14
 - modules, 16–17
 - programme structures, 12, 33
- Doctor of Law, 8
- Doctor of Theology, 8
- Doctoral students, 92
- Doctoralness, 191–192
- Doctorate in Education (EdD), 218
 - students, 36
- Doctorate in Engineering (EngD), 8
- Doing ethnography, 153

- Early Career Researcher (ECR), 239–244
- Economic and Social Research Council (ESRC), 121
- Education doctorates, 1
- Educational leadership, 16
- Educational research, ethical
 - guidelines for, 73–78
- Epistemology, 155
- Ethics in educational research, 61
 - authentic case study of online research, 78–84
 - deontology, 63–65
 - ethical guidelines for educational research, 73–78
 - ethical principles, 70–73
 - ethical theories, 62–63
 - ethics of care, 67–70
 - research ethics principles, 74
 - virtue ethics, 65–67
- Ethnography, 153–155
- Eudaimonia* (human flourishing, happiness), 65
- Examination process, 215–216
 - case studies, 232–236
 - result of, 231
 - submission of thesis, 216
- Expert–novice continuum, 43–44

- Feedback, 46–48
- Feeling, 107
- Feminism, 103
- Feminist epistemologies, 156
- Fidelity, 64
- First meeting, 38–39
- ‘First-generation’ professional doctorates, 24
- Fitness for purpose, 167
- Flexibility, 167
- Focus groups, 150–151
- Forecasting, 206
- Frequency of meetings, 40–41

- General Data Protection Regulation (GDPR), 75
- Golden thread, 194–195
- Good Supervisory Practice Framework (GSPF), 35
- Grounded theory, 172–173
- ‘Grounded’ theory, 117

- Headspace, 44–45
- Higher education (HE), 9, 240
- Higher Education Funding Council for England (HEFCE), 9
- Higher Education Institutions (HEIs), 92
- Hindsight, 229
- Hoskins, Kate, 5, 139, 202, 247–248
- Hudson, Anthony, iii

- Institute of Education (IOE), 35
- Intellectual virtues, 65–66
- International Reading Recovery Trainer Organisation (IRRTO), 249
- Interview-based research, 147
- Interviews, 146–148
 - limitations of using, 148–150
- Intimacy, 124
- Iterative processes, 100

- Justice, 64, 71–73
- Kolb’s theory, 128

- Layers, 119
- Learner autonomy, 123
- Learning, 127
 leaps, 106–107
- Learning Styles Inventory (LSI), 130
- Linguistic phenomena, 104
- LinkedIn, 241
- Litany, 120
- Literature review
 contribution to knowledge,
 105–107
 criticality, 101–104
 naming of separate parts,
 100–101
 and purposes, 95–98
 selection processes, 99–100
 theoretical frameworks,
 104–105
- Living voice, 216
- Low science capital, 121
- Malthouse, Richard, 4, 216
- Marxism, 103
- Mediational support, 53
- Metaphor, 124–129
- Method, strengths and limitations
 of, 151–153
- Methodology, 138
 qualitative, 140–143
 quantitative, 138–140
- Mixed-methods analysis, 175–176
- Mode 2 knowledge, 10
- Moral actions, 67
- Moral virtues, 65–66
- MS Excel, 173
- MS Word, 173
- Myth, 124–129
- Mythology, 141
- Naming of separate parts, 100–101
- National Assessment of
 Educational Progress
 (NAEP), 122
- National Foundation for
 Educational Research
 (NFER), 121
- New-materialism, 103
- Non-maleficence, 64
- Non-theoretical ‘blank slates’, 118
- NVivo, 21, 173, 183
- Observations, 151–153
- Ohm’s Law, 125
- Online intervention model, 80
- Organisation for Economic
 Co-operation and
 Development
 (OECD), 122
- Organisational career, 241
- Overt non-participant observation,
 152
- Overt participant observation, 152
- Participants, responsibilities to, 75
- Partnerships, 43–44
- Personal construct theory
 (PCT), 117
- Phronêsis* (practical, moral
 wisdom), 65
- PISA/TIMMs data, 122–123
- Positionality, 83
- Positivist research, 138
- Post qualification
 Early Career Researcher,
 239–244
 Gina Wisker, 254–257
 Jane Creaton, 244–247
 Kate Hoskins, 247–248
 Mike Watts, 252–254
 Sue Taylor, 248–252
- Post-colonialism, 103
- Post-humanism, 103
- Post-modernism, 103
- Post-structuralism, 103
- Postgraduate research (PGR), 251
- Power hierarchy, 50
- Practicality, 165–166
- Practitioner-based enquiry, 16
- Practitioner-based methodologies,
 16
- ‘Priori’ theory, 117
- Private, Voluntary and
 Independent group-
 based practitioners
 (PVI group-based
 practitioners), 79

- Professional doctorate in
 - education, 7–11
 - research element, 19–26
 - structure of, 7, 11–14
 - taught element, 15–19
- Professional Doctorate in Forensic Psychology, 9
- Programme for International Student Assessment (PISA), 122–123
- Publication, responsibilities for, 76–77

- Qualitative methodology, 140–143
- Quantitative methodology, 138–140
- Question-asking, 126–127
- Questionnaires, 145–146

- Rabbit-Holes, 104–105
- Real-life case study, 155–158
- Regulatory support, 52
- Relevance of School Science project (ROSE project), 120
- Research
 - ethics principles, 74
 - findings, 202
 - training, 194
- Research Ethics Committee (REC), 72
- Research Excellence Framework (REF), 240
- Researchers' well-being and development, responsibilities for, 77–78
- Respect for persons, 70–71, 73
- Responsibilities
 - to community of educational researchers, 76
 - to participants, 75
 - for publication and dissemination, 76–77
 - for researchers' well-being and development, 77–78
 - to sponsors, clients and stakeholders in research, 76
- Robertson, Leena Helavaara, 3, 91, 204
- Robinson, Carol, ii
- Roffey-Barentsen, Jodi, 1, 4, 5, 217, 241

- Science self-efficacy, 115
- 'Second-generation' doctorates, 24
- Selection processes, 99–100
- Self-improvement, 64
- Selfish gene, 125
- Semi-structured interview, 148
- Setting expectations, 39–40
- Signalling, 207
- Signposting, 207–208
- Social constructionism, 155
- Social justice, 103
- Social Media Ethical Framework, 79
- Special Educational Needs (SEN), 78
- Specialism–education, 1
- Sponsors, responsibilities to, 76
- SPSS, 21, 173
- Stakeholders in research, responsibilities to, 76
- STEM pipeline, 121
- Structured interview, 148
- Summarising, 206–207
- Supervision meetings, planning for, 41–42
- Supervisor, relationship with, 33–35, 49
 - approaching, 37–38
 - background/context, 34–35
 - cognitive mindset, 45–47
 - communicating effectively with, 50
 - effective working relationships, 38–41
 - identifying, 36–37
 - mediational support, 53
 - objectives, 34
 - preparing for supervisory meetings, 42–45
 - regulatory support, 52
 - setting parameters, 41–42
 - support mechanisms, 52
 - well-being support, 53

- Support mechanisms, 52
 Surveys, 143–144
- Taylor, Sue, 2, 5, 33, 248–252
 Tearoom Trade studies (1970s), 70
 Thematic analysis, 170–171
 Theoretical approach to student-based questioning, 126
 Theoretical framework, 113, 118–119
 decision chains, 129–131
 layers, 119–129
 setting out, 114–116
 Theory, 116–118
 Theory-driven thinking, 115
 Thesis
 abstract, 198–199
 conclusion not just summary, 208–209
 critical writing, 203–205
 EdD Student's experience, 210–211
 first impressions count, 195–197
 forecasting, 206
 headings and subheadings, 205
 introduction, 199–200
 managing examiners' first impressions of, 192–194
 methodology, 200–201
 presenting your findings, 201–203
 signalling, 207
 signposting, 207–208
 summarising, 206–207
 Thesis Whisperer, 104
 Threshold crossings, 106
 Traditional PhD, 9
 Transferability, 180
 Trustworthiness, 180
 Twitter, 241
- UK Council for Graduate Education (UKCGE), 8
- United Nations Convention on the Rights of the Child (UNCRC), 75
 University of Manchester Institute of Science and Technology (UMIST), 8
 Unstructured interview, 147
 Utilitarianism, 62–63
- Validity, 165–166
 Value-laden descriptions and ends, 67
 Virtue ethics, 65–68
 Vitae Researcher Developer Framework, 21
 Viva, 23, 215–217
 being defensive, 228–229
 being heard and linking, 230
 dealing with weaknesses, 229
 ending process, 230
 identifying questions, 221
 introductions, 227–228
 label thesis, 221
 managing expectations, 220
 panel, 218–219
 preparing for, 219
 preparing for viva questions, 223–226
 preparing on day, 222–223
 questioning, 228
 reading through thesis, 220–221
 undertaking mock viva, 222
 viva itself, 226
 'Viva voce', 216
- Watts, Mike, 3, 5, 115, 252
 Well-being support, 53
 Wisker, Gina, 254–257
 Worldview, 122–123
 Writing, 100
- Zwozdiak-Myers, Paula Nadine, 3, 61